ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee
DATE	26 September 2024
EXEMPT	No
CONFIDENTIAL	No
REPORT TITLE	Internal Audit Report AC2422 – Recruitment
REPORT NUMBER	IA/AC2422
DIRECTOR	N/A
REPORT AUTHOR	Jamie Dale
TERMS OF REFERENCE	2.2

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 The purpose of this report is to present the planned Internal Audit report on Recruitment.

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

2.1 It is recommended that the Committee review, discuss and comment on the issues raised within this report and the attached appendix.

3. CURRENT SITUATION

3.1 Internal Audit has completed the attached report which relates to an audit of Recruitment.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no direct legal implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

6. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1 There are no direct environmental implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

7. RISK

7.1 The Internal Audit process considers risks involved in the areas subject to review. Any risk implications identified through the Internal Audit process are detailed in the resultant Internal Audit reports. Recommendations, consistent with the Council's Risk Appetite Statement, are made to address the identified risks and Internal Audit follows up progress with implementing those that are agreed with management. Those not implemented by their agreed due date are detailed in the attached appendices.

8. OUTCOMES

- 8.1 There are no direct impacts, as a result of this report, in relation to the Council Delivery Plan, or the Local Outcome Improvement Plan Themes of Prosperous Economy, People or Place.
- 8.2 However, Internal Audit plays a key role in providing assurance over, and helping to improve, the Council's framework of governance, risk management and control. These arrangements, put in place by the Council, help ensure that the Council achieves its strategic objectives in a well-managed and controlled environment.

9. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Assessment	Outcome
Impact Assessment	An assessment is not required because the reason for this report is for Committee to review, discuss and comment on the outcome of an internal audit. As a result, there will be no differential impact, as a result of the proposals in this report, on people with protected characteristics.
Privacy Impact Assessment	Not required

10. BACKGROUND PAPERS

10.1 There are no relevant background papers related directly to this report.

11. APPENDICES

11.1 Internal Audit report AC2422 – Recruitment

12. **REPORT AUTHOR CONTACT DETAILS**

Name	Jamie Dale
Title Chief Internal Auditor	
Email Address Jamie.Dale@aberdeenshire.gov.uk	
Tel	(01467) 530 988



Internal Audit

Assurance Review of Recruitment

Status: Final Date: 06 August 2024 Risk Level: Corporate

Report No: AC2422 Assurance Year: 2023/24

Net Risk Rating	Description	Assurance Assessment
Moderate	There is a generally sound system of governance, risk management and control in place. Some issues, non-compliance or scope for improvement w ere identified, which may put at risk the achievement of objectives in the area audited.	Reasonable

Report Tracking	Planned Date	Actual Date
Scope issued	19-Feb-24	19-Feb-24
Scope agreed	26-Feb-24	22-Feb-24
Fieldwork commenced	01-Mar-24	01-Mar-24
Fieldwork completed	29-Mar-24	04-Jul-24
Draft report issued	03-May-24	11-Jul-24
Process owner response	24-May-24	25-Jul-24
Director response	31-May-24	06-Aug-24
Final report issued	07-Jun-24	06-Aug-24
Audit Committee	26-Sep-24	

	Distribution		
Document type	Assurance Report		
Director	Andy MacDonald, Director – Customer Services		
Process Owner	Process Owner Lesley Strachan, Service Lead – People		
Stakeholder Isla Newcombe, Chief Officer – People & Citizen Services			
	Vikki Cuthbert, Interim Chief Officer - Governance*		
	Jonathan Belford, Chief Officer - Finance*		
*Final only	*Final only External Audit*		
Lead auditor	Rachel Brand, Auditor		

1 Introduction

1.1 Area subject to review

Aberdeen City Council is committed to adopting modern, efficient, flexible, and fair recruitment and selection practices in order to appoint the best possible people who will help ensure quality service delivery to our customers.

Effective recruitment and selection depends upon identifying and selecting individuals with the appropriate level of skills, knowledge, and behaviours and those who will readily identify with the aims and objectives of the Council while making a positive and valuable contribution towards them. This in turn will help to improve staff and customer experience and the use of resources.

The recruitment and selection process also offers an opportunity to promote the Council as an employer of choice. Recruiting managers should aim to enhance the Council's reputation by maintaining a professional approach throughout the process.

1.2 Rationale for the review

The objective of this audit is to evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of internal controls over the recruitment processes. Potential risks in this area include being able to attract, select and retain the right quality of candidates, ensuring only those eligible to work in specific roles are offered employment, and developing the workforce in accordance with strategic plans.

This audit was previously carried out in 2015/16 (AC1601), where a number of recommendations were made across the whole process for improvement. These included: ensuring all PVG checks are carried out prior to starting in post, ensuring procedures and policies are reviewed and kept up to date, ensuring appropriate records are kept for all candidates - whether successful or not, and evidencing authorisations for higher salary gradings.

1.3 How to use this report

This report has several sections and is designed for different stakeholders. The executive summary (section 2) is designed for senior staff and is cross referenced to the more detailed narrative in later sections (3 onwards) of the report should the reader require it. Section 3 contains the detailed narrative for risks and issues we identified in our work.

2 Executive Summary

2.1 Overall opinion

The full chart of net risk and assurance assessment definitions can be found in Appendix 1 – Assurance Scope and Terms. We have assessed the net risk (risk arising after controls and risk mitigation actions have been applied) as:

Net Risk Rating	Description	Assurance Assessment
Moderate	There is a generally sound system of governance, risk management and control in place. Some issues, non-compliance or scope for improvement w ere identified, which may put at risk the achievement of objectives in the area audited.	Reasonable

The organisational risk level at which this risk assessment applies is:

Risk Level	Definition
Corporate	This issue / risk level impacts the Council as a whole. Mitigating actions should be taken at the Senior Leadership level.

2.2 Assurance assessment

The level of net risk is assessed as **MODERATE**, with the control framework deemed to provide **REASONABLE** assurance over the adequacy and effectiveness of internal controls over the Council's recruitment processes.

In general there is clear guidance on the required process, which has recently been reviewed and rationalised to more clearly and concisely set out key roles and tasks, and following which should provide assurance over officers meeting relevant obligations in respect of employment legislation relating to recruitment and selection.

Whilst it was not possible to gain full assurance, due to a lack of responses from recruiting managers contacted as part of the audit (14 (47%) did not respond), where responses were provided positive assurance over the application of the process was obtained.

However, the review identified some areas of weakness where enhancements could be made to strengthen the framework of control, specifically:

- Employee Files The HR Service Centre (HRSC) collates relevant information and documentation into a single file for each employee, known as an employee's personal file. Whilst there are checklists included, covering completion of key recruitment administration tasks, there is no index for each file, and every file was in a different order. This makes it difficult to determine whether files are complete and up to date, without reviewing the entire contents. Within the sample of employee files reviewed there were missing documents. This reduces assurance that all appropriate documents are being correctly completed/obtained and retained, and that all required checks have been carried out during the recruitment process.
- **Recruitment Checklists** Attached to every employee file is a recruitment checklist that is a record of the recruitment and selection process. This is marked off and dated when each step of the process is completed and any checks required are carried out. The form sets out that if any of the checks are not required, the user should state "N/A" (not applicable) in the relevant column. However, not all checks had been completed meaning it was unclear if the check was not completed or if it was not required. Variations in recording present a risk that not all recruitment checks will have been completed satisfactorily in advance of an employee joining the Council. In the event of an error, this could result in additional cost, and reputational damage.
- Interviews Out of 30 cases reviewed, there was no record of 16 Recruiting Managers (53%) having undertaken the appropriate recruitment and selection training course. In 10 of the cases (33%), none of the panel members, including the Recruiting Manager, if present, had a record of having completed the training course. If there are insufficient suitably trained staff on

interview panels, the quality and consistent application of the recruitment process could be adversely affected. In the event of any issues or challenges regarding the process, this could result in reputational damage, and potential costs.

• PVG Dispensation – For regulated work with children or vulnerable adults, PVG (Protection of Vulnerable Groups) membership must be established and record received and checked prior to offering a preferred candidate the job. Failure to do so could result in the Council employing unsuitable staff, putting service users at risk. The Council does however have an agreed temporary dispensation process whereby a Chief Officer (in consultation with the People & OD Manager with Lead responsibility for Disclosure Scotland) may approve a risk assessment for short-term dis-application of this requirement, subject to the application of agreed mitigating controls to avoid service users being placed at risk, pending receipt of the outcome of a PVG check. In one of the cases reviewed, the preferred candidate had a PVG for children, but the new role required a PVG for both children and adults. There were delays obtaining the correct PVG and as of their start date they did not have the correct updated PVG. Although a risk assessment was undertaken, this was not completed and approved prior to the start date.

Recommendations have been made to address the above risks including reviewing the layout of employee files, and administration of checklists, to ensure all required documentation is in place and has been satisfactorily checked (particularly in respect of PVG checks) in advance of employment being confirmed; and ensuring recruitment panels record that they comprise suitably trained staff.

2.3 Severe or major issues / risks

Issues and risks identified are categorised according to their impact on the Council. The following are summaries of higher rated issues / risks that have been identified as part of this review:

Ref	Severe or Major Issues / Risks	Risk Agreed	Risk Rating	Page No.
1.4	PVG Dispensation – For regulated work with children or vulnerable adults, PVG membership must be established and record received and checked prior to offering a preferred candidate the job. Failure to do so could result in the Council employing unsuitable staff, putting service users at risk. The Council does however have an agreed temporary dispensation process whereby a Chief Officer (in consultation with the People & OD Manager with Lead responsibility for Disclosure Scotland) may approve a risk assessment for short-term dis-application of this requirement, subject to the application of agreed mitigating controls to avoid service users being placed at risk, pending receipt of the outcome of a PVG check.	Y	Major	12
	In one of the cases reviewed, the preferred candidate was an internal employee with PVG membership (children's register), but the new role required a PVG for both children and adults. There were delays obtaining the updated PVG and as of their start date the updated PVG had not been received. Although a risk assessment was undertaken, this was not completed and approved prior to the start date. This means the employee was working in their new role for one week, prior to receipt of the satisfactory PVG check for adults (but did			

Ref	Severe or Major Issues / Risks	Risk Agreed	Risk Rating	Page No.
	have a valid PVG check for children). The risk was only assessed retrospectively, and not formally signed off by the Chief Officer until four weeks later.			
	Whilst in this instance the outcome was ultimately satisfactory, if employees can commence in posts carrying out regulated work prior to receipt of a satisfactory PVG check, and without a risk assessment being completed in advance, there is a higher risk of employing unsuitable candidates, and placing vulnerable service users at risk of harm.			

2.4 Management response

The Service has welcomed the input of Internal Audit in this area and has worked in partnership to identify potential risks and improvement actions. The audit findings have highlighted a number of areas where we can make improvements to our process, and in particular the need to communicate clearly with recruiting managers that even where a candidate is an internal employee of the council, they cannot commence their new role until such time as they have had confirmation from the HR Service Centre that all preferred candidate checks are complete.

In addition, the audit has identified a need to review some of the methods used to record stages within the recruitment process and how documentation is stored within an employee's personal file.

In terms of the audit findings, and major risk identified, in the PVG Dispensation section (1.4), this was an unusual and isolated case and the risk level was very low (in that a PVG was in place for the employee's current role and any convictions / causes of concern would be k nown to us as employer). The case relates to an internal employee who's current role involved undertaking regulated work with children and where a PVG check for the children's register was already in place. This employee became the preferred candidate for a role within the Environment team, that would from time to time, involve regulated work with both children and vulnerable adults, and therefore an additional PVG check for the adults register was required.

In this case, the recruiting manager had not appreciated that there was a requirement for the additional PVG for the adults register, and made arrangements for the (internal) employee to transfer over to the new role. As soon as this issue was alerted to the HR Service Centre, a risk assessment as part of the agreed Temporary Dispensation process commenced and 7 days later, the PVG check was received.

In terms of actions, this case has highlighted that there is a need to reinforce the message to recruiting managers of the need to await confirmation from the HR Service Centre that all preferred candidate checks have been completed before they can proceed to agree a start date / or that they follow the temporary dispensation process in terms of seeking approval to commence an employee in advance of the PVG check being completed. This will be done both by re-enforcing this particular point within the recruitment and selection training for managers, the refresher training and the online module that will be developed, as well as within all the correspondence with managers during the recruitment process.

In terms of actions, we accept all the recommendations within the report and will work on providing assurance against these within the agreed timescales as set out within the report.

3 Issues / Risks, Recommendations, and Management Response

3.1 Issues / Risks, recommendations, and management response

Ref	Description	Risk Rating	Moderate
1.1	Employee Files - A sample of 30 recruitment exercises concluded in 2024 was reviewed as part of the audit. Documentation was obtained from relevant employee files, and recruiting managers were asked to confirm the process applied during the recruitment process.		d recruiting
	The HR Service Centre (HRSC) collates relevant information and de file for each employee. Whilst there are checklists included, co recruitment administration tasks, there is no index for each file, different order. This makes it difficult to determine whether files are without reviewing the entire contents.	vering comple and every fil	tion of key e was in a
	Within the sample of employee files reviewed there were missing of	documents. Fo	or example:
	 Three cases (10%) had no confirmation email from Talent was approved for advertising <i>(this confirms Chief Officer, H</i> Whilst the remaining 27 files included confirmation tha approved, there was no indication of who had approved it it is recorded, it was also not possible to review and confirreceived Establishment Control Board (ECB) approval. One file (3%) did not have the job application form attached In four cases (13%) no interview details form was attached. In six cases (20%) no interview assessment form was a successful candidate. Two interviews (7%) did not use the standard assessment Two (7%) interview assessment forms did not have the form recorded. One (3%) did not have references attached. Two of the cases (7%) had no employment contract attached had part of a contract attached. Only seven files (23%) held a signed copy of the contract. In four of seven cases (57%) where an employee was place the job salary grading, no justification had been recorded. 	IR, and Finance t the vacancy . Due to the w rm that all vac ed. d to the employ ttached to the forms. the names of ed, and anothe	e approval). had been ay in which ancies had ree file. file for the the panel r (3%) only
	This reduces assurance that all appropriate documents completed/obtained and retained (or correctly disposed of), and that been carried out during the recruitment process.	0	
	IA Recommended Mitigating Actions		
	The Service should review the layout of the employee file, consider the start listing all attached documents, and ensure all required do completed/obtained, retained, and attached to the file.		
	Management Actions to Address Issues/Risks		
	Whilst the audit has shown above that there were some missing file, or incomplete forms, we are confident that checks were in place recorded due to human error. It is fully accepted that there can be our processes here in terms of improving the recruitment check advertise, and interview documentation and the team will review the	e, but may not be improvemen cklist, noting a	have been ts made to approval to

It should be noted that the vacancy approval process is robust and undertaken within Talentlink and a clear audit trail is contained there, ensuring that vacancies would not be advertised 'live' on the myjobscotland website without approval.

In accordance with the audit findings the team will review the recruitment checklist and interview documentation record process to ensure it is accurate and up to date. The HR Service Centre team that undertake recruitment administration will be fully briefed on the revised process and the need to ensure accurate and comprehensive recording for audit purposes.

Employee personal files have been held as pdf documents on a shared network drive for some years, since they were moved from manual, hard-copy files. It is fully accepted that this format provides limitations in terms of the ease of searching for information and lack of indexing and structure.

In accordance with the audit findings, the team will work with colleagues in Design and Technology to investigate options for how these could better be stored, for ease of access and searchability.

Risk Agreed	Person(s)	Due Date
Yes	Service Lead - People	31 December 2024

Ref	Description	Risk Rating	Moderate
1.2	Recruitment Checklists - Attached to every employee file is a real a record of the process. This is marked off and dated when ear completed and any checks required are carried out. The form s checks are not required, the user should state "N/A" (not applicable)	ch step of the sets out that if	process is any of the
	However, only four of 30 recruitment checklists reviewed had beer of the remaining 26 cases (87%), checks had not been recorder assurance that they had been completed or were not required. For	ed as complete	
	 Four checklists (17%), out of 23, showed a PVG was requilleft blank, so there was no certainty the PVG had been che For six of 30 checklists (20%), the boxes in respect of reblank, and in one instance it had been marked that qualified there was no confirmation this had been checked. In 19 cases (63%), lines had been deleted from the checks were not required, it of Clear annotation (e.g. noting a check is not applicable) assurance that due consideration has been given to the aron the list. In one case, the file indicated that a formal offer had be candidate in error, in advance of all recruitment checks be 	ecked. quired qualifica cations were n ecklist. Whilst could equally b provides mo applicability of een sent to th	ations were equired but it might be be an error. ore positive each check e preferred
	Ownership of updates to the checklists is demonstrated through initials and date of completion. This is not currently recorded for ch as not applicable. Recording this would provide more assurance given prior to recording an item as not required.	anges / areas	determined
	Variations in recording present a risk that not all recruitment checks will have been completed satisfactorily in advance of an employee joining the Council. In the event of an error, this could result in additional cost, and reputational damage.		
	IA Recommended Mitigating Actions		

Ref	De	scription	Risk Rating	Moderate
	The Service should review and confirm all tasks have been completed, recorded as such, and appropriately documented/evidenced prior to setting up an employee on the system.			
	Management Actions to Ad	dress Issues/Risks		
	The recruitment checklist document has not been reviewed for some time and it is acknowledged that team members processing the recruitment are perhaps not completing the form as diligently as possible, in all cases, often due to the need to process the appointment as timeously as possible. In particular, leaving sections blank where a check is not required, rather than stating 'not applicable'.			
	In accordance with the audit findings, the recruitment checklist document will be reviewed to ensure that it is accurate and up to date, recording all the necessary information required for each step of the recruitment process, and HR Service colleagues will be fully briefed on the need to complete the checklist accurately and fully for audit purposes. In addition, options to host the recruitment checklist within TalentLink (or some other digital solution) will be investigated which would reduce the likelihood of gaps, due to the use of mandatory fields, as well as improving the process overall.			
	Risk Agreed	Person(s)	Due Date	
	Yes	Service Lead - People	31 December 20	24

Ref	Description	Risk Rating	Moderate
1.3	Interview Panel Composition and Training - Recruiting Ma interview details form, which has all the details relating to the ir Business Services to book in on the TalentLink system.		
	As noted above, not all files included an interview details form. In one instance (3%) in names on the form were different from those recorded as having completed the candida interview assessments. In three cases (10%) there were fewer panel members listed the would normally be required for that type of post. This reduces assurance that interviews a being completed by appropriate staff in line with Council requirements.		e candidate listed than
	The Recruitment and Selection guidance states that the Recruiting interview panel. Based on the interview details form and interview cases reviewed (13%) did not have the Recruiting Manager preser was no information on file to indicate why this would have been the appointed as chair in the Recruiting Manager's absence. When entered into TalentLink, the person who enters this is recorded as This was particularly the case in schools, where a member of the team would enter the job on behalf of the Head Teacher ¹ . If there person creating the advertisement separately from the Recruiting provide more assurance over the correct workflow.	v assessment nt at the intervi ne case, or who n job advertise s the Recruiting Administrative e is a facility to	forms, four ew. There o had been ements are g Manager. or Support o record the
	The Recruitment and Selection guidance states that all recruiting completed the Recruitment and Selection Training Course, and is members undertake the training course, but it is not a requirem aspects of equality and diversity that are important in undertake everyone is treated fairly and equally during the process.	t is advised th ent. The cou	at all panel Irse covers

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 1}$ This is separate from the cases noted above where the Recruiting Manager was not recorded as present

Ref	Description	Risk Rating	Moderate
	Out of the 30 cases reviewed, there was no record of 16 Recruiting undertaken the recruitment and selection training course. In 10 c were no records of any of the panel members, including the Recru having completed the training course.	of the cases	(33%), there
	If there are insufficient suitably trained staff undertaking key roles quality and consistent application of the recruitment process could the event of any issues or challenges regarding the process, this c damage, and potential costs.	be adversely	affected. In
	Recruitment and Selection training is available through regularly sch sessions, supplemented with some online content and guidance. In a training record, instances were identified where panel members several years previously, or had undertaken it as part of an inductio Chief Officers, or Councillors). As the requirements may have ch period, it may be appropriate to offer a refresher course. If there taking an online course that can be taken at any time, this might red members and Recruiting Managers who attend interviews withou training.	n addition to the had complete had complete had complete had been been been been been been been bee	those without eted training .g. Directors, e intervening the option of ount of panel
	IA Recommended Mitigating Actions		
	The Service should ensure an appropriate level of assurance is of that recruitment panels are sufficiently composed and adequately t taking place.		
	Management Actions to Address Issues/Risks		
	Whilst it is normal practice for the recruiting manager to chair the ir be circumstances where this is not possible. An example may business admin roles, where a number of candidates are interviewe the same job profile, but could be placed in a range of establishme	/ include for ed for the sa	teaching or me role, with
	In terms of the audit findings on interview panel composition, these relate to promote teaching appointments.		to promoted
	In terms of the audit findings on training, it should be recognised that to record those who attend the recruitment and selection training, where training / 1-2-1 sessions have taken place and this has not be have undertaken to ensure that going forward this is accurately record training record within the HR /Payroll system (CoreHR).	, there may been recorde	be instances d. The team
	In accordance with the audit findings, the following actions will be u	undertak en:	
	 the TalentLink form that managers use to enter the vacar will be updated to ensure that roles in the process are centering the details (e.g. an administrator) and who the received the TalentLink form that managers use to enter the vacance to include a question to determine whether the recruiting completed their recruitment training and if not, this will be a panel composition requirements will be reviewed in consumanagement team, guidance amended and this will be contracted to ensure they are fully aware of the requirement. In addition, the recruitment checklist will be reviewed to Chair of the Panel (and other panel members) have a selection training. refresher recruitment and selection training is currently Talent Team, which when finalised will be a requirement for the panel function. 	clear, e.g. th cruiting mana- cy details will g manager arranged. Itation with th ommunicated s. include a ch attended rech being devel- for managers	he employee oger is. be amended / team have he Education d to all Head neck that the ruitment and oped by the to complete
	every 3 years to ensure they are up to date . It is agreed to could be provided by an online module, target implementation		•

Internal Audit

Ref	Description		Risk Rating	Moderate
	 regular communications will be undertaken via a range of communications channels to reinforce the importance of completing the recruitment and selection training, the requirement for refresher training and the online module once implemented. 			training, the
	Risk Agreed Yes	Person(s) Service Lead - People	Due Date31 December 202	24

Ref	Description	Risk Rating	Major
1.4	PVG Dispensation – For regulated work with children or membership must be established, and the record received and co preferred candidate the job. Failure to do so could result in the Cou staff, putting service users at risk. The Council does however had dispensation process whereby a Chief Officer (in consultation with the with Lead responsibility for Disclosure Scotland) may approve a re term dis-application of this requirement, subject to the applicate controls to avoid service users being placed at risk, pending receipt check.	hecked prior to incil employing ave an agreed the People & O isk assessmer tion of agreed	o offering a unsuitable temporary D Manager at for short- mitigating
	In one of the cases reviewed, the preferred candidate was an intermembership (children's register), but the new role required a P' adults. There were delays obtaining the updated PVG and as of the PVG had not been received. Although a risk assessment was completed and approved prior to the start date:	VG for both cl neir start date t	hildren and he updated
	 Start date: 01/04/24 PVG recorded as received: 08/04/24 (documented but not evidenced in file, as these are not permitted to be retained) Risk assessment completed: 09/04/24 Chief Officer approval: 25/04/24 		e, as these
	This means the employee was working in their new role for one we satisfactory PVG check for adults (but did have a valid PVG che was only assessed retrospectively, and not formally signed off by weeks later.	ck for children)	. The risk
	Whilst in this instance the outcome was ultimately satisfactory, if employees can commence in posts carrying out regulated work prior to receipt of a satisfactory PVG check, and without a risk assessment being completed in advance, there is a higher risk of employing unsuitable candidates, and placing vulnerable service users at risk of harm.		and without
	IA Recommended Mitigating Actions		
	Controls should be implemented to ensure employment in regulated work cannot commence until a satisfactory PVG check, or approved dispensation, has been confirmed.		
	Management Actions to Address Issues/Risks		
	As outlined in the management response at section 2.4, having in case, this is an unusual and an isolated incident. It relates to an in risk level was very low (in that a PVG was in place and any convic would be known to us as employer).	nternal employe	e, and the
	In terms of actions, this case has highlighted that there is a need to recruiting managers of the need to await confirmation from the preferred candidate checks have been completed before they can	HR Service Ce	ntre that all

Ref	Des	scription	Risk Rating	Major
	date / or that they follow the temporary dispensation process in terms of seeking approval to commence an employee in advance of the PVG check being completed. This will be done both by re-enforcing this particular point within the recruitment and selection training for managers, the refresher training and the online module that will be developed, as well as within all the correspondence with managers during the recruitment process.			
	Risk Agreed Person(s) Due Date			
	Yes	Service Lead - People	31 August 2024	

4 Appendix 1 – Assurance Terms and Rating Scales

4.1 Overall report level and net risk rating definitions

The following levels and ratings will be used to assess the risk in this report:

Risk Level	Definition
Corporate	This issue / risk level impacts the Council as a whole. Mitigating actions should be taken at the Senior Leadership level.
Function	This issue / risk level has implications at the functional level and the potential to impact across a range of services. They could be mitigated through the redeployment of resources or a change of Policy within a given function.
Cluster	This issue / risk level impacts a particular Service or Cluster. Mitigating actions should be implemented by the responsible Chief Officer.
Programme and Project	This issue / risk level impacts the programme or project that has been review ed. Mitigating actions should be taken at the level of the programme or project concerned.

Net Risk Rating	Description Assura Assess		
Minor	A sound system of governance, risk management and control exists, with internal controls operating effectively and being consistently applied to support the achievement of objectives in the area audited.	ort Substantial	
Moderate	There is a generally sound system of governance, risk management and control in place. Some issues, non-compliance or scope for improvement w ere identified, w hich may put at risk the achievement of objectives in the area audited.	Reasonable	
Major	Significant gaps, weaknesses or non-compliance were identified. Improvement is required to the system of governance, risk management and control to effectively manage risks to the achievement of objectives in the area audited.	the system of governance, risk management and control to effectively	
Severe	Immediate action is required to address fundamental gaps, w eaknesses or non- compliance identified. The system of governance, risk management and control is inadequate to effectively manage risks to the achievement of objectives in the area audited.	Minimal	

Individual Issue / Risk Rating	Definitions
Minor	Although the element of internal control is satisfactory there is scope for improvement. Addressing this issue is considered desirable and should result in enhanced control or better value for money. Action should be taken within a 12 month period.
Moderate	An element of control is missing or only partial in nature. The existence of the w eakness identified has an impact on the audited area's adequacy and effectiveness. Action should be taken w ithin a six month period.
Major	The absence of, or failure to comply with, an appropriate internal control, which could result in, for example, a material financial loss. Action should be taken within three months.
Severe	This is an issue / risk that could significantly affect the achievement of one or many of the Council's objectives or could impact the effectiveness or efficiency of the Council's activities or processes. Action is considered imperative to ensure that the Council is not exposed to severe risks and should be taken immediately.

5 Appendix 2 – Assurance Scope and Terms of Reference

5.1 Area subject to review

Aberdeen City Council is committed to adopting modern, efficient, flexible, and fair recruitment and selection practices in order to appoint the best possible people who will help ensure quality service delivery to our customers.

Effective recruitment and selection depends upon identifying and selecting individuals with the appropriate level of skills, knowledge, and behaviours and those who will readily identify with the aims and objectives of the Council while making a positive and valuable contribution towards them. This in turn will help to improve staff and customer experience and the use of resources.

The recruitment and selection process also offers an opportunity to promote the Council as an employer of choice. Recruiting managers should aim to enhance the Council's reputation by maintaining a professional approach throughout the process.

5.2 Rationale for review

The objective of this audit is to evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of internal controls over the recruitment processes. Potential risks in this area include being able to attract, select and retain the right quality of candidates, ensuring only those eligible to work in specific roles are offered employment, and developing the workforce in accordance with strategic plans.

This audit was previously carried out in 2015/16 (AC1601), where a number of recommendations were made across the whole process for improvement. These included: ensuring all PVG checks are carried out prior to starting in post, ensuring procedures and policies are reviewed and kept up to date, ensuring appropriate records are kept for all candidates - whether successful or not, and evidencing authorisations for higher salary gradings.

5.3 Scope and risk level of review

This review will offer the following judgements:

- An overall **net risk** rating at the **Corporate** level.
- Individual **net risk** ratings for findings.

Please see for details of our risk level and net risk rating definitions.

5.3.1 Detailed scope areas

As a risk-based review this scope is not limited by the specific areas of activity listed below. Where related and other issues / risks are identified in the undertaking of this review these will be reported, as considered appropriate by IA, within the resulting report.

The specific areas to be covered by this review are:

- Procedures and Policies
 - o Clarity and content, including legal obligations, and required documentation.
 - Requirements for clusters / managers, and the P&OD Team.
 - Governance and approvals.
 - Systems, document retention, and data protection.
- Approvals
 - \circ $\;$ New posts and extensions to fixed term posts.
 - Commencement of recruitment.
 - o Selection, grading, placement, contract length, allowances, and incentives.
 - Interview expenses.
 - **Recruitment and Selection**
 - Panel composition and training.

- \circ Record keeping, documented decision making, and evidenced adherence to procedure.
- Eligibility and Checks
 - Evidenced and checked in advance of formal offer of employment:
 - Right to work in the UK.
 - Disclosure Scotland and PVG checks.
 - Qualifications and licences.

5.4 Methodology

This review will be undertaken through interviews with key staff involved in the process(es) under review and analysis and review of supporting data, documentation, and paperwork. To support our work, we will review relevant legislation, codes of practice, policies, procedures, and guidance.

Due to hybrid working across the Council, this review will be undertaken primarily remotely.

5.5 IA outputs

The IA outputs from this review will be:

- A risk-based report with the results of the review, to be shared with the following:
 - Council Key Contacts (see 1.7 below)
 - Audit Committee (final only)
 - External Audit (final only)

5.6 IA staff

The IA staff assigned to this review are:

- Rachel Brand (audit lead)
- Colin Harvey, Audit Team Manager
- Jamie Dale, Chief Internal Auditor (oversight only)

5.7 Council key contacts

The key contacts for this review across the Council are:

- Andy MacDonald, Director Customer Services
- Lesley Strachan, Service Lead People (process owner)
- Lindsay MacInnes, Interim Chief Officer People & Organisation Development and Customer Experience

5.8 Delivery plan and milestones

The key delivery plan and milestones are:

Milestone	Planned date
Scope issued	19-Feb-24
Scope agreed	26-Feb-24
Fieldwork commences	1-Mar-24
Fieldwork completed	29-Mar-24
Draft report issued	3-May-24

Milestone	Planned date
Process owner response	24-May-24
Director response	31-May-24
Final report issued	07-Jun-24